## Application No. Applicant(s) 10/008,895 PREUKSCHAT ET AL. Interview Summary Examiner **Art Unit** Lan Nguyen 3683 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Lan Nguyen. (2) Max Fogiel. (4)\_\_\_\_\_ Date of Interview: 06 September 2006. Type: a) ✓ Telephonic b) ✓ Video Conference c) Personal (copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_. Claim(s) discussed: None. Identification of prior art discussed: None. Agreement with respect to the claims f was reached. g was not reached. f N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The Appeal Brief filed on 7/20/06 was being discussed. Mr. Fogiel pointed out that portions of the specification and the figures have been cited in section "Summary of claimed subject matters" in support of the claimed subject matter. The Examiner reminded that although supports for the claimed subject matters have been pointed out, references to the independent claims involved in the appeal were not included. Also, for the "Arguments" section, Applicant needed to argue in reference to each rejection based on 35 USC 102 and each rejection based on 35 USC 103...